2017 Current Fiscal Year Report: Technical Review Panel on the Medicare **Trustees Reports**

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 02:07:54 PM

2. Fiscal Year 1. Department or Agency

Department of Health and Human Services 2017

3b. GSA Committee

3. Committee or Subcommittee No.

Technical Review Panel on the Medicare Trustees

Reports

21480

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term Year? Charter Date Date

No 03/04/2016 03/04/2018

8a. Was Terminated During 8c. Actual Term 8b. Specific Termination Authority

FiscalYear? Date

Agency Memo from HHS/OAS for Yes 09/29/2017

Plan & Eval 9/28/2017

9. Agency Recommendation for 10b. Legislation 10a. Legislation Req to Terminate? **Next FiscalYear** Pendina?

Terminate No Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Commitee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

42 U.S.C. 217a Ad hoc 01/05/1999 No

15. Description of Committee Special Emphasis Panel

16a. Total Number of Reports

16b. Report

Report Title Date

Review of Assumptions and Methods of the Medicare Trustees' Financial 09/29/2017

Projections

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 **Meetings and Dates**

No Meetings

	Current FY N	lext FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$10,000.00	\$0.00

18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$7,000.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$17,000.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.10	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

By sharing opinions in a public forum, in addition to hearing from other experts on the various methodologies that exist for projecting Medicare expenditures, the panel will produced a written report recommending methodologies to the Medicare Trustees for making such projections.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

There are a variety of experts representing the points of view of actuaries and economists. The membership was balanced between private sector interests and academic interests. Additionally, the panel had both male and female members.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The meetings occurred monthly.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Medicare Trustees project Medicare costs and revenue over a 75 year period. The methodology and assumptions continue to evolve in response to the changing health care system. Health experts, including health economists and actuaries,, provide independent, expert input and review of methods and assumptions and provide the Secretary, as a Trustee, with recommendations for improvement.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? Meetings were open.

21. Remarks

Federal employee costs during this period attributed to providing technical assistance to the panel in completing its deliberations. The Committee's final report was issued in June 2017.

Designated Federal Officer

Donald T Oellerich Deputy Chief Economist

Committee Start End Occupation Members	Member Designation
Bundorf, M. Kate 08/30/2016 09/29/2017 Department of Health Research and University	Policy Stanford Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Buntin, Melinda 08/30/2016 09/29/2017 Professor and Chair, Department of Vanderbilt University	Health Policy Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Frakt, Austin 08/30/2016 09/29/2017 Health Economist Veterans Affairs	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Meara, Ellen 08/30/2016 09/29/2017 The Dartmouth Institute for Health P	Policy & Clinical Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pauly, Mark 08/30/2016 09/29/2017 Wharton Health Care Management	Department Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sandler, 08/30/2016 09/29/2017 Actuary Aetna, Inc.	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Thompson, 08/30/2016 09/29/2017 President & CEO National Alliance of Purchaser Coalitions	of Healthcare Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Vigen, Greger 08/30/2016 09/29/2017 Independent Actuary	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Yamamoto, Dale 08/30/2016 09/29/2017 Actuary Red Quill Consulting	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 9

Narrative Description

Helped Medicare Trustees make more accurate forecasts of future health costs, so that resources can be allocated in Medicare and within the federal budget optimally.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
mprovements to health or safety	✓
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	
mproved service delivery	✓
ncreased customer satisfaction	
mplementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

The nature of the committee is to help Medicare Trustees make forecasts about future health spending, not to look for savings.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

25

Number of Recommendations Comments

The recommendations in this year's report were captured in previous fiscal years.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

88%

% of Recommendations $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ Implemented Comments

NA

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

4%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Historically recommendations of presentation have only been partially accepted - this is primarily due to the desire of the Trustees to present materials in their reports to Congress in a similar format.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments NA	
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the commrecommendation?	nittee's advice or
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	✓
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
Trustees are planning to review and incorporate the recommendation as appropriate, to improve the projection assumptions and methodolo presentation of material in the Trustees' annual report to Congress.	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grant No	s?
Grant Review Comments NA	
How is access provided to the information for the Committee's d	locumentation?
·	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	✓
Other	

Access Comments

N/A